Senate



General Assembly

File No. 71

January Session, 2013

Senate Bill No. 943

Senate, March 20, 2013

The Committee on Commerce reported through SEN. LEBEAU of the 3rd Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING CHANGES TO THE STATE ECONOMIC STRATEGIC PLAN.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 32-10 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July 1, 2013*):
- 3 (a) On or before July 1, [2009] 2015, and every [five] four years
- 4 thereafter, the Commissioner of Economic and Community
- 5 Development, within available appropriations, shall prepare an
- 6 economic <u>development</u> strategic plan for the state in consultation with
- 7 the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management, the
- 8 Commissioners of Energy and Environmental Protection and
- 9 Transportation, the Labor Commissioner, the chairperson of the
- 10 Culture and Tourism Advisory Committee, the executive directors of
- 11 the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Connecticut Innovations,
- 12 Incorporated, and the Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities
- 13 Authority, or their respective designees, and any other agencies the
- 14 Commissioner of Economic and Community Development deems

- 15 appropriate.
- 16 (b) In developing the plan, the Commissioner of Economic and 17 Community Development shall:
- 18 (1) Ensure that the plan is consistent with (A) the text and locational
- 19 guide map of the state plan of conservation and development adopted
- 20 pursuant to chapter 297, and (B) the state's consolidated plan for
- 21 housing and community development prepared pursuant to section 8-
- 22 37t;
- 23 [(2) Consult regional councils of governments, regional planning
- 24 organizations, regional economic development agencies, interested
- 25 state and local officials, entities involved in economic and community
- 26 development, stakeholders and business, economic, labor, community
- 27 and housing organizations;
- 28 (3) Consider (A) regional economic, community and housing
- 29 development plans, and (B) applicable state and local workforce
- 30 investment strategies;]
- 31 [(4)] (2) Assess and evaluate the economic development challenges
- 32 and opportunities of the state and against the economic development
- 33 competitiveness of other states and regions; and
- [(5)] (3) [Host regional forums to provide for public involvement in
- 35 the planning process] Provide for public input in the development of
- 36 <u>the plan</u>.
- 37 (c) The strategic plan required under this section shall include, but
- 38 not be limited to, the following:
- 39 (1) A review and evaluation of the economy of the state; [. Such
- 40 review and evaluation shall include, but not be limited to, a sectoral
- 41 analysis, housing market and housing affordability analysis, labor
- 42 market and labor quality analysis, demographic analysis and historic
- 43 trend analysis and projections;

(2) A review and analysis of factors, issues and forces that impact or impede economic development and responsible growth in Connecticut and its constituent regions; [. Such factors, issues or forces shall include, but not be limited to, transportation, including, but not limited to, commuter transit, rail and barge freight, technology transfer, brownfield remediation and development, health care delivery and costs, early education, primary education, secondary and postsecondary education systems and student performance, business regulation, labor force quality and sustainability, social services costs and delivery systems, affordable and workforce housing cost and availability, land use policy, emergency preparedness, taxation, availability of capital and energy costs and supply;]

- [(3) Identification and analysis of economic clusters that are growing or declining within the state;]
- [(4)] (3) An analysis of targeted industry sectors in the state that (A) identifies those industry sectors that are of current or future importance to the growth of the state's economy and to its global competitive position, (B) identifies what those industry sectors need for continued growth, and (C) identifies those industry sectors' current and potential impediments to growth;
- [(5) A review and evaluation of the economic development structure in the state, including, but not limited to, (A) a review and analysis of the past and current economic, community and housing development structures, budgets and policies, efforts and responsibilities of its constituent parts in Connecticut, and (B) an analysis of the performance of the current economic, community and housing development structure, and its individual constituent parts, in meeting its statutory obligations, responsibilities and mandates and their impact on economic development and responsible growth in Connecticut;]
- [(6)] (4) Establishment and articulation of a vision for Connecticut that identifies where the state should be in [five, ten, fifteen and twenty years] the future;

[(7)] (5) Establishment of <u>prioritized</u>, clear and measurable goals and objectives for the state and regions [, to meet the short and long-term goals established under this section] and provide clear steps and strategies to achieve said goals and objectives; [, including, but not limited to, the following: (A) The promotion of economic development and opportunity, (B) the fostering of effective transportation access and choice including the use of airports and ports for economic development, (C) enhancement and protection of the environment, (D) maximization of the effective development and use of the workforce consistent with applicable state or local workforce investment strategy, (E) promotion of the use of technology in economic development, including access to high-speed telecommunications, and (F) the balance of resources through sound management of physical development;]

- [(8) Prioritization of goals and objectives established under this section;]
 - [(9)] (6) Establishment of relevant measures that clearly identify and quantify (A) whether a goal and objective is being met at the state, regional, local and private sector level, and (B) cause and effect relationships, and provide a clear and replicable measurement methodology; and
 - [(10)] (7) Recommendations on how the state can best achieve goals under the strategic plan and provide cost estimates for implementation of the plan and the projected return on investment for those areas. [;]
 - [(11) A review and evaluation of the operation and efficacy of the urban jobs program established pursuant to sections 32-9i to 32-9l, inclusive, enterprise zones established pursuant to section 32-70, railroad depot zones established pursuant to section 32-75a, qualified manufacturing plants designated pursuant to section 32-75c, entertainment districts established pursuant to section 32-76 and enterprise corridor zones established pursuant to section 32-80. The review and evaluation of enterprise zones shall include an analysis of enterprise zones that have been expanded to include an area in a

110 contiguous municipality or in which there are base or plant closures;

- 111 (12) An assessment of program performance with regard to the 112 development, research and economic assistance matching grant 113 program established pursuant to section 32-345; and
- 114 (13) Any other responsible growth information that the 115 commissioner deems appropriate.]
- (d) On or before July 1, [2009] 2015, and every [five] four years 116 117 thereafter, the Commissioner of Economic and Community 118 Development shall submit [an] the economic development strategic 119 plan for the state prepared pursuant to this section to the [Governor 120 for approval. The Governor shall review and approve or disapprove 121 such plan not more than sixty days after submission. The plan shall be 122 effective upon approval by the Governor or sixty days after the date of 123 submission.
 - (e) Upon approval, the commissioner shall submit the economic development strategic plan to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to commerce, planning and development, appropriations and the budgets of state agencies and finance, revenue and bonding. Not later than thirty days after such submission, the commissioner shall post the plan on the web site of the Department of Economic and Community Development.
 - [(f) The commissioner from time to time, may revise and update the strategic plan upon approval of the Governor. The commissioner shall post any such revisions on the web site of the Department of Economic and Community Development.]

This act shal sections:	l take effect as foll	ows and shall amend the following
Section 1	Iuly 1, 2013	32-10

CE Joint Favorable

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill results in no fiscal impact to the Department of Economic and Community Development (DECD) by (1) shortening the timeframe and (2) reducing the content of the state's economic strategic plan. DECD can accommodate these changes to the strategic plan as it is within the scope of the agency's mission to develop and implement viable economic strategies for the state.

The Out Years

State Impact: None

Municipal Impact: None

OLR Bill Analysis SB 943

AN ACT CONCERNING CHANGES TO THE STATE ECONOMIC STRATEGIC PLAN.

SUMMARY:

This bill shortens the timeframe for the state's economic development strategic plan and eliminates many procedural and content requirements for preparing it. By law, the plan is prepared by the Department of Economic and Community Development (DECD), in consultation with several specified state and quasi-public agencies.

The bill shortens the plan's timeframe from five to four years and extends the deadline for the next plan. DECD submitted the first plan in 2009 and, under current law, must submit the next plan by July 1, 2014. Under the bill, DECD must submit the next plan by July 1, 2015, and every four years thereafter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2013

PROCEDURAL CHANGES

The bill makes changes to the process for preparing, approving, and revising the plan. It eliminates the requirements that the commissioner must, when preparing the plan:

- 1. consult with regional councils of governments; regional planning organizations; regional economic development agencies; interested state and local officials; community and economic development entities; stakeholders; and business, economic, labor, community, and housing organizations; and
- 2. consider regional economic, community, and housing development plans and applicable state and local workforce investment strategies.

The bill also eliminates a requirement specifying how the commissioner must involve the public in the planning process. Current law specifically requires her to do so by hosting public forums. The bill eliminates this requirement and instead simply requires her to provide for public input.

After completing the planning process, current law requires the commissioner to submit the plan first to the governor for approval and then to the legislature. The bill eliminates the requirement that she must submit the plan to the governor, who, under current law, has 60 days to approve or disapprove it or allow it to be approved without acting on it.

Lastly, the bill eliminates a (1) provision allowing the commissioner to revise and update the plan, with the governor's approval and (2) requirement that she post the revisions on DECD's website.

CONTENT CHANGES

The bill eliminates many provisions that specify the plan's content. Those provisions specify general topics (e.g., review and evaluation of the state's economy) and how they must be addressed (e.g., sectoral analysis, housing market and housing affordability analysis, etc.). The bill (1) eliminates some topics and the specifications for how they must be addressed and (2) keeps others, but for some, eliminates the specifications for addressing them.

Eliminated Topics

The bill eliminates the requirement that the plan review and evaluate the state's economic development structure, including:

- 1. a review and analysis of (a) past and current economic, community, and housing development structures, budgets, and policies, and (b) the efforts, and responsibilities of the structures' constituent parts; and
- 2. an analysis of the extent to which these structures and parts (a) meet their obligations, responsibilities, and mandates and (b)

contributed to the state's economic development and responsible growth.

The bill eliminates the requirement that the plan must identify and analyze the state's growing and declining economic clusters (i.e., geographically concentrated and interrelated groups of businesses, universities, and government agencies).

The bill eliminates the requirement that the plan evaluate specific programs and policies. The programs are the urban jobs, enterprise zones, railroad depot zones, manufacturing plant zones, entertainment districts, enterprise corridor zones, and small business assistance innovation research. Under current law, DECD reports on the enterprise zone program and the businesses assisted under the other programs in its annual report (CGS § 32-1m).

Lastly the bill eliminates the requirement that the plan evaluate any responsible growth information the commissioner believes is appropriate.

Eliminated Specifications

The bill retains the other topics, but eliminates the specifications for addressing them. In doing so, the bill allows the commissioner to determine how to address them.

The bill still requires the plan to review and evaluate the state's economy, but eliminates the requirement that it do so by including a sectoral analysis, housing market and housing affordability analysis, labor market analysis and labor quality analysis, demographic analysis, and historic trend analysis and projections.

The bill similarly keeps the requirement that the plan review and analyze the factors, issues, and forces affecting state and regional economic development and responsible growth, but eliminates the list of specific factors, issues, and forces the plan must examine. The factors are: commuter transit, rail and barge freight, technology transfer, brownfield remediation and development, health care

delivery and costs, early education, primary education, secondary and postsecondary education systems and student performance, business regulation, labor force quality and sustainability, social service costs and delivery systems, affordable and workforce housing costs and availability, land use policy, emergency preparedness, taxation, capital availability, and energy costs and supply.

The bill still requires the plan to establish and articulate a vision for the state. Under current law the plan must also identify where the state should be in five, 10, 15, and 20 years. The bill eliminates this five-year horizon interval, allowing the commissioner to choose the point in the future for identifying the state's progress.

Lastly, the bill still requires the plan to (1) establish clear and measureable statewide and regional goals and objectives and (2) specify clear steps and strategies for achieving them, but it eliminates the specific goals and objectives the plan must address. These goals are: promoting economic objectives development opportunity; fostering effective access and choice to airports and ports development; enhancing for economic and protecting environment; maximizing the extent to which the workforce is developed and used consistent with applicable state and local workforce investment strategies; promoting the use of technology in high-speed economic development, including access communications; and balancing resources through sound physical development management.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Commerce Committee

```
Joint Favorable
Yea 17 Nay 0 (03/07/2013)
```